

REMARKS

This is responsive to the Office Action issued on December 9, 2005. By this Response, claims 1, 2, 5, 6 and 10 are amended, and claims 3 and 7-9 are canceled without prejudice. Claims 11-13 are newly presented. No new matter is added. Claims 1-2, 4-6 and 10-13 are now active for examination.

The Office Action rejected claims 3 and 5-10 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claims 1-9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Tognazzini (U.S. Patent No. 5,860,023). Claim 10 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tognazzini in view of Zilliacus (U.S. Publication No. 2003/0211856).

Applicants respectfully submit that the rejections are overcome in view of the amendments and/or remarks presented herein.

The Rejections of Claims 3 and 7-9 Are Moot

By this Response, claims 3 and 7-9 are cancelled without prejudice. Accordingly, the rejections of claims 3 and 7-9 are now moot.

The Indefiniteness Rejection of Claims 5, 6 and 10 Is Overcome

The Office Action rejected claims 5, 6 and 10 under §112, second paragraph for lacking appropriate antecedent basis. After this claim amendment, it is believed that claims 5, 6 and 10 are now in appropriate form.

The Anticipation Rejection of Claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6 Is Overcome

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6 were rejected as being anticipated by Tognazzini. The anticipation rejection is respectfully traversed because Tognazzini cannot support a prima facie case of anticipation.

Claim 1, as amended, describes a presentation system having a display device and a computer unit configured to communicate with a plurality of mobile terminal devices in a wireless manner. A control unit incorporated in the computer unit provides input information from the mobile terminal devices on the display device. The control unit includes cursor control means together with a computer program for controlling cursor position information based on the received input information in order to indicate a display on a screen of said display device. Appropriate support of the amendment can be found in, for instance, Fig. 1, page 8, line 25 through page 10, line 22 of the written description, and original claim 3 as filed. Therefore, an exemplary presentation system of claim 1 allows a remote mobile terminal device own by an audience to control a cursor on a presentation display controlled by a lecturer.

On the other hand, the Office Action alleged that pointing devices 940, 942 shown in Fig. 9B of Tognazzini appear to meet the claimed limitation. However, it is submitted that pointing devices 940, 942 of Tognazzini are part of a central control computer, not part of a remote mobile device. Therefore, pointing devices 940, 942 of Tognazzini do not control a cursor from a remote mobile device, as alleged by the Office Action. Since Tognazzini as alleged by the Office Action fails to disclose every limitation of claim 1, Tognazzini cannot support a prima facie case of anticipation. The anticipation rejection is untenable and should be withdrawn. Favorable reconsideration of claim 1 is respectfully requested.

Claims 2 and 4-6, directly or indirectly, depend on claim 1 and incorporate every limitation thereof. Therefore, claims 2 and 4-6 also are patentable over Tognazzini by virtue of their dependencies of claim 1. Favorable reconsideration of claims 2 and 4-6 is respectfully requested.

The Obviousness Rejection of Claim 10 Is Overcome

Claim 10 depends on claim 1 and was rejected as being unpatentable over Tognazzini in view of Zilliacus. The obviousness rejection is respectfully traversed because Tognazzini and Zilliacus cannot support a prima facie case of obviousness.

As discussed earlier, Tognazzini fails to disclose every limitation of claim 1. The other document, Zilliacus, was cited by the Office Action for its purported use of a mobile phone as a mobile terminal device. Thus, Tognazzini and Zilliacus, if combined as alleged by the Examiner, still fail to teach every limitation of claim 1. Thus, claim 10, by incorporating every feature of claim 1, is patentable over the combination of Tognazzini and Zilliacus. Favorable reconsideration of claim 10 is respectfully requested.

New Claims 11-13 Are Patentable

By this Response, claims 11-13 are newly added. Claim 13 describes a presentation system including a display and a presentation computer, coupled to the display, configured to communicate with each of a plurality of mobile device in a wireless manner. The plurality of mobile devices includes a lecturer mobile device and at least one audience mobile device. The presentation computer, responsive to a control signal received from the lecturer mobile device, displays cursor identification unique to the lecturer mobile device on the display. The control

signal received from the lecturer mobile device is generated by operating an input device located on the lecturer mobile device, which controls the position of the cursor identification unique to the lecturer mobile device displayed on the display. Moreover, the presentation computer, responsive to a control signal received from the audience mobile device, displays cursor identification unique to one of the audience mobile devices on the display. The control signal received from the audience mobile device is generated by operating an input device located on the audience mobile device, which controls the position of the cursor identification unique to the audience mobile device displayed on the display. Appropriate support of the amendment can be found in, for instance, Fig. 1 and page 8, line 25 through page 10, line 22 of the written description.

Claim 12 depends on claim 11 and further describes that the presentation computer, responsive to an information acquisition request received from the audience mobile device and the cursor identification unique to the audience mobile device identifying a storage device of the presentation computer, acquires data stored in the storage device and transmits the acquired data to the audience device in a wireless manner. Appropriate support for claim 12 can be found in at least page 11, lines 5 through 17 of the written description.

Claim 13 depends on claim 11 and further describes that the lecturer mobile device includes a screen displaying a cursor corresponding to the cursor identification unique to the lecturer mobile device displayed on the display. Appropriate support for claim 13 can be found in, for instance, page 9, line 23 through page 10, line 3 of the written description.

It is believed that the features described in claims 11-13 are not available in the documents of record, either alone or combined. Favorable consideration of claims 11-13 is respectfully requested.

Conclusions

For the reasons given above, Applicants believe that this application is in condition for allowance, and request that the Examiner give the application favorable reconsideration and permit it to issue as a patent. If the Examiner believes that the application can be put in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' representatives listed below.

Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 500417 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP



Wei-Chen Nicholas Chen
Registration No. 56,665

600 13th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005-3096
Phone: 202.756.8000 WC:al
Facsimile: 202.756.8087
Date: May 9, 2006

**Please recognize our Customer No. 20277
as our correspondence address.**